

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA**

CM #18-10

March 21, 2018

2:00 ~ 2:30 P.M.

COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: Swain E. WHITFIELD, *Chairman*; Comer H. ‘Randy’ RANDALL, *Vice Chairman*; and COMMISSIONERS John E. ‘Butch’ HOWARD, Elliott F. ELAM, Jr., Elizabeth B. ‘Lib’ FLEMING, Robert T. ‘Bob’ BOCKMAN, and G. O’Neal HAMILTON

COMMISSION MEMBERS ABSENT: —

ADVISOR TO COMMISSION: Joseph Melchers, Esq.
GENERAL COUNSEL

PRESENTING AGENDA: Douglas K. Pratt
TECHNICAL ADVISORY STAFF

STAFF PRESENT: Jocelyn G. Boyd, Chief Clerk/Administrator; F. David Butler, Esq., Senior Counsel; B. Randall Dong, Esq., Josh Minges, Esq., and David W. Stark, III, Esq., Legal Advisory Staff; Janice Schmieding, Afton Ellison, and Patricia Stephens, Clerk’s Staff; and Jo Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM/M-GNSC, Court Reporter.

**TRANSCRIPT / MINUTES
OF
COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETING**

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

101 EXECUTIVE CENTER DRIVE
COLUMBIA, SC 29210

POST OFFICE BOX 11649
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

WWW.PSC.SC.GOV

I N D E X

	PAGE
<u>NEXT COMMISSION MEETING SET</u>	3
<u>UTILITIES AGENDA</u>	3
<i>Item #11</i>	
Question/Comment by Commissioner Elam.....	15
Question/Comment by Commissioner Fleming.....	16
<u>TRANSPORTATION AGENDA</u>	20

In accordance with S.C. Code § 30-4-80(E), the Public Service Commission hereby certifies that it has notified all persons, organizations, local news media, and all other news media requesting notification of the time, date, place, and agenda of this public meeting, by posting a copy of the Notice in its principal office, by e-mailing such Notice to all who request same, and by posting the Notice on the Commission's official Internet website.

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Please be seated. We'll
3 call this Commission Meeting to order and ask that
4 we pause for a moment of silent meditation.

5 [Brief pause]

6 Amen.

7 Mr. Pratt

8 **MR. PRATT:** Mr. Chairman and Commissioners,
9 the first order of business would be to set the
10 time of the next meeting, and I'd like to suggest
11 Wednesday, April 4th, at 2 p.m.

12 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** April 4th sounds good,
13 Mr. Pratt.

14 **MR. PRATT:** Moving to the Utility Agenda our
15 first item is Docket No. 2018-9-E, which is South
16 Carolina Electric & Gas Company's Integrated
17 Resource Plan, and we have a Petition to Intervene.

18 **COMMISSIONER HAMILTON:** Mr. Chairman.

19 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Hamilton.

20 **COMMISSIONER HAMILTON:** Mr. Chairman, before I
21 make the motion, I'd like to note that if our
22 visitors move any closer to the back, they'll be
23 blocking traffic.

24 [Laughter]

25 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** So noted, Commissioner
26 Hamilton.

27 **COMMISSIONER HAMILTON:** Thank you, sir. Mr.
28 Chairman, I'd like to move to grant the Petition to
29 Intervene in Docket No. 2018-9-E, filed on behalf
30 of the South Carolina Coastal Conservation League
31 and the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy. So
32 moved, sir.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Hamilton.

Commissioners, you've heard Commissioner Hamilton's motion. Is there any discussion?

[No response]

Hearing none, all those in favor, please, say "aye"?

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

Mr. Pratt.

MR. PRATT: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, Item 2 is Docket No. 2018-73-E, and this is South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's request for approval of a contract for electric service with Trane U.S., Incorporated, and a request for confidential treatment.

COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Commissioner Bockman.

COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN: Mr. Chairman, I have a motion I'd like to make in connection with this matter, please. I would move that we approve the contract and grant the company's request for confidential treatment of certain provisions of the contract, as it includes pricing and design information designated by FERC as Critical Energy/Electric Infrastructure Information. The Office of Regulatory Staff does not object to this contract, Mr. Chairman, and I would so move that we approve it.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Bockman.

Commissioners, you've heard Commissioner Bockman's motion. Is there any discussion?

[No response]

If not, all those in favor, please, say "aye"?

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, Item 3 is Docket No. 2018-75-E, which is a Renewable Power Purchase Agreement between South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Midlands Solar, LLC.

COMMISSIONER ELAM: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Commissioner Elam.

COMMISSIONER ELAM: Mr. Chairman, I move we accept for filing the Renewable Power Purchase Agreement and Amendment between South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Midlands Solar, LLC. So moved.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you.

You've heard Commissioner Elam's motion. Is there any discussion?

[No response]

Hearing none, all those in favor of Commissioner Elam's motion, please, say "aye."

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Mr. Chairman and other Commissioners, in Item 4, we have a Power Purchase

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Agreement between Duke Energy Progress and Cherry Blossom Solar, LLC.

COMMISSIONER ELAM: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Commissioner Elam.

COMMISSIONER ELAM: Mr. Chairman, after review of the confidential portions of the agreement, I find that they are narrowly drawn and specifically targeted to protect confidential or proprietary terms and are exempt from disclosure pursuant to South Carolina Code Section 58-4-55(C). Therefore, I move that we accept for filing and grant confidential treatment, based on the same rationale as our rulings in Docket 2016-41-E and 2016-42-E. So moved.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Elam.

Commissioners, you've heard Commissioner Elam's motion. Is there any discussion or any questions regarding his motion?

[No response]

Hearing none, all those in favor, please, say "aye."

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, Item 5 is Docket No. 2018-60-E, which is the Sallie Saldano Complaint against South Carolina Electric & Gas Company. We have a Motion to Dismiss. We would like to carry this item over.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: So noted, Mr. Pratt. We

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

will carry over Item 5.

MR. PRATT: Thank you. Item 6 is the Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC, for approval of the transfer and sale of property in Holly Springs, North Carolina.

COMMISSIONER ELAM: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Commissioner Elam.

COMMISSIONER ELAM: Since I've apparently still got the floor and love the sound of my own voice, on this particular filing by Duke Energy Progress it concerns a 425-acre parcel of vacant land not required for utility operations. A contract to sell property to Shenandoah Homes for \$17 million, that's what the contract is for, and Shenandoah Homes is not affiliated with Duke Energy Progress. The property was marketed by a DEP employee, who is a licensed real estate broker and who is familiar with property values in the market for tracts like the property at issue.

The property has a tax value of \$14,675,799. As of May 25, 2017, the appraised value of the property was \$12,765,000, and the property has a net book value of \$591,622.

The original cost of the property will be credited as a reduction of the amount carried under Account 101, "Electric Plant in Service." The difference between the sales price and the original cost of the non-depreciable property will be applied to Account 421.10, "Gain on Disposition of Property."

And last but not least, ORS does not oppose the sale.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Therefore, I would move that we accept –
approve the filing.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Elam.

Commissioners, you've heard Commissioner Elam's motion for the approval of sale and transfer of property of Duke, in the Holly Springs, North Carolina, area, to a non-Duke-affiliate. Are there any questions regarding Commissioner Elam's motion?

[No response]

If not, all those in favor of Commissioner Elam's motion, please, say "aye."

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, Item 7 is Docket No. 2018-25-E, which is the Joint Petition to transfer a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity from Columbia Energy, LLC, to South Carolina Electric & Gas Company.

COMMISSIONER HOWARD: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Commissioner Howard.

COMMISSIONER HOWARD: Thank you. In Docket No. 2018-25-E, I move we hold in abeyance the transfer of the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity from Columbia Energy Supply, LLC, to South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, and order the companies to file their agreement and request approval of their steam contract. That's my motion, Mr. Chairman.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Howard.

Commissioners, you've heard Commissioner Howard's motion. Is there any discussion or any questions regarding his motion?

[No response]

Hearing none, all those in favor of Commissioner Howard's motion, please, say "aye."

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, Item 8 is Docket No. 2018-72-E, which is the Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, for approval of Rider 10, Demand-Side Management and Energy Efficiency for 2019, and they want to waive notice and hearing.

COMMISSIONER FLEMING: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Commissioner Fleming.

COMMISSIONER FLEMING: For Docket No. 2018-72-E, I move to deny the request for waiver of notice and hold in abeyance the request for waiver of hearing until intervention period has expired. I so move.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Fleming.

Commissioners, you've heard Commissioner Fleming's motion. Is there any discussion regarding her motion?

[No response]

If not, all those in favor, please, say "aye"?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Item 9, Docket No. 2016-384-S, which is the Application of Moore Sewer, Incorporated, for approval of an increase in sewer rates. We have a request for a public night hearing in Spartanburg County.

COMMISSIONER FLEMING: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Commissioner Fleming.

COMMISSIONER FLEMING: For Docket No. 2016-384-S, I would invite all the interested parties to attend the public hearing, public night hearing, that is currently scheduled for 6 p.m., on May 3, 2018, at the Spartanburg County Council Chambers.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Fleming.

You've heard Commissioner Fleming's motion. Is there any discussion regarding a night hearing in Spartanburg?

[No response]

If not, all those in favor of Commissioner Fleming's motion, please, say "aye."

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Item 10, we have Docket No. 2018-28-W, which is the Application of JACABB Utilities, LLC, for approval of an expansion of its existing water service area and to include certain portions

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

of Pickens County, and request for approval of the water system asset purchase agreement with Crawford Falls Owners Association, Inc.

VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Commissioner Randall.

VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Mr. Chairman, in Docket No. 2018-28-W, I move that we approve the expansion of JACABB Utilities, LLC's existing water service area to include certain portions of Pickens County and that we approve the Water System Asset Purchase Agreement with Crawford Falls Owners Association, Incorporated.

There are several points on this to be noted. ORS does not object to this. JACABB is currently authorized to provide service in areas of Pickens County, so this is consistent with what they've been doing. JACABB requests that it be allowed to provide water service in the expanded service territory pursuant to rates and charges that were approved in Docket No. 2008-173-W. The City of Clemson will provide water via a master meter to the Crawford Falls Subdivision and will be responsible for the sewer and storm water service. JACABB will be responsible for calculating and collecting payment from individual customers for sewer service and storm water service provided by the City of Clemson. JACABB also requests that the sewer and storm water service charges be approved as pass-through charges with a monthly administration billing fee of \$4.50 to each homeowner or customer.

So moved, Mr. Chairman.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Randall.

You've heard Commissioner Randall's motion. Is there any discussion?

[No response]

If not, all those in favor of Commissioner Randall's motion, please, say "aye."

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, Item 11 is Docket No. 2014-346-WS, the Application of Daufuskie Island Utility Company, Incorporated, for approval of an increase in water and sewer rates, terms, and conditions, and we have a Petition for Reconsideration on Commission Order on Rehearing.

COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Commissioner Bockman.

COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN: At the risk of expanding my reputation for long-winded motions, I do have one of that nature that I'd like to offer in this matter.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Well, we're ready, Commissioner Bockman. You go right ahead.

COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman, I move that Daufuskie Island Utility Company's Petition for Reconsideration of Order No. 2018-68 be denied.

The company's Petition first questions the

1 Order's basis for supporting the ORS recommendation
2 excluding \$699,361 in gross plant from rate base.
3 The Order cited specific testimony from ORS
4 auditors, which established that the amount
5 proposed for exclusion was derived after adding the
6 elevated tank site and related facilities to the
7 account for plant-in-service as discussed by the
8 Supreme Court's opinion, and established that the
9 company failed to present evidence supporting the
10 value of the remaining excluded plant-in-service by
11 invoices or other probative evidence. Based on the
12 company's own witness's testimony, I believe ORS
13 furnished information that enabled the company to
14 identify specifics of the various items considered
15 in plant-in-service at issue, along with categories
16 of such plant. Specifically, in the original case,
17 Witness Guastella, on page five of his rebuttal
18 testimony, stated that ORS had provided DIUC with
19 work papers as a follow-up to the audit exit
20 conference call that enabled the company to
21 identify what it believes are the specifics of the
22 ORS adjustments.

23 The NARUC Uniform System of Accounts for both
24 water and wastewater companies requires that
25 property records be maintained by the utility.
26 Lacking such documentation, ORS properly excluded
27 the \$699,361 in plant-in-service. Although the
28 company argued that, despite the absence of
29 verifiable property records, ORS should have
30 accepted the company's own estimates of the values,
31 the Commission cannot accept estimates in regard
32 to the rate-base items in question without proper

1 documentation of relevant, sufficient, and reliable
2 data on which to decide the company's revenue
3 requirements. The company has not established a
4 process for preparing accounting estimates that can
5 be audited by an independent third party, such as
6 the ORS. Therefore, the company must provide
7 proper documentation for such items in future
8 proceedings, if it seeks approval of them. Such
9 documentation can be provided by various sources,
10 such as obtaining duplicate invoices from vendors,
11 presenting cancelled checks as proof of payment,
12 obtaining copies of cancelled checks from banking
13 institutions when necessary, supplying copies of
14 paid contracts, and/or obtaining independent third-
15 party estimates for questioned items.

16 I also believe that the evidence presented by
17 ORS supports the adjustment made for capital costs
18 and legal costs associated with plant-in-service,
19 which we adopted in Order No. 2018-68, and company
20 allegations to the contrary must also be rejected.

21 With regard to DIUC's allegations regarding
22 accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense,
23 it is clear that ORS updated these "fall out"
24 adjustments and presented them during the rehearing
25 of this case. Therefore, the Commission Order
26 adopting these adjustments was proper and need not
27 be reconsidered.

28 Considering the rate-case expense issue, we
29 recognized in our Order on Rehearing that ORS
30 Witness Hipp completed a thorough review of all
31 invoices from Guastella Associates, and found them
32 to lack specific detail in many respects. Although

1 the company failed to meet its burden regarding
2 sufficiency of evidence, the Commission has made it
3 clear that the company is not foreclosed from
4 providing sufficient evidence on this issue in its
5 next rate case. Accordingly, this allegation of
6 error in the Order on Rehearing is without any
7 substantial basis.

8 This motion summarizes my proposed resolution
9 of the company's Petition. If the Commission
10 adopts this motion, I further move that a full
11 order should follow that provides full details of
12 the rationales for the decision.

13 In summary, again, I move that we deny the
14 relief the company requests in the Petition for
15 Reconsideration in its entirety. Finally, in its
16 filing on February 4, 2018, the company noted that,
17 pursuant to our Order on Rehearing, it is
18 collecting \$955,136 in additional annual revenue,
19 rather than the \$950,166 in additional revenue that
20 we approved in that Order. I move that we require
21 the company to make appropriate adjustments to
22 cease the collection of the annual revenue which
23 exceeds the authorized level of \$950,166.

24 Mr. Chairman, that concludes my motion, and I
25 so move.

26 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner
27 Bockman.

28 Commissioners, you've heard Commissioner
29 Bockman's lengthy motion, as he described it, in
30 this item. Are there any questions or is there any
31 discussion of this item? Commissioner Elam.

32 **COMMISSIONER ELAM:** Mr. Chairman, if you will

1 recall, I was one of two on this panel who filed a
2 dissent from this Order. I'm going to support Mr.
3 Bockman's motion. As part of the Staff's filling
4 in the details, I hope that it is re-emphasized
5 that an examination of the appropriate used-and-
6 useful rate base can be addressed in the next
7 proceeding. I believe it should have been in this
8 proceeding, but it has to be open to the next
9 proceeding.

10 In addition to that, I support the finding in
11 Commissioner Bockman's motion on the rate-case
12 expenses, and when we're talking about north of
13 \$½ million in rate-case expenses for a company of
14 this small size, when rate-case expenses become a
15 significant percentage of the increase in rates, I
16 think that just becomes unconscionable. And for
17 those reasons, I would support Commissioner
18 Bockman's motion.

19 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner
20 Elam. I do remember your dissent, and I do – your
21 points are so noted. And also I noted that you are
22 in support of Commissioner Bockman's motion.

23 Any further – Commissioner Fleming?

24 **COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** Being the second person
25 who dissented, I concur with what Commissioner Elam
26 has said, and I also support the motion that
27 Commissioner Bockman has made. And with that, I'll
28 move on to the vote.

29 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner
30 Fleming.

31 Any other Commissioner questions, discussion,
32 anything related to this item?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

[No response]

Okay, hearing none from down the other end of the bench, I'm going to call for the question. You heard Commissioner Bockman's motion. Now that we've had discussion, if there's no further discussion, all those in favor of Commissioner Bockman's motion in this item, please say "aye."

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, once again dealing with Docket No. 2014-346-WS and Daufuskie's Application for a rate increase, we have the Applicant's Motion for an order regarding bonds.

VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Commissioner Randall.

VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Mr. Chairman, in Docket No. 2014-346-WS, I move that Daufuskie Island Utility Company's Motion for Order Regarding Bonds be held in abeyance.

ORS reports that it's not completed its review to ensure that the company is complying with the Commission's Order 2018-68 that stated, "The company shall refund the difference between the amount it collected under bond and the amount this Commission approves...to the customers that paid the excessive rates at an interest rate of 12 percent per annum." However, according to ORS' response to the Motion, its review thus far has raised questions regarding the amounts refunded,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

the status of refunds to former customers of DIUC, and the methodology used to calculate those amounts. ORS, therefore, argues that the issuance of refunds is not settled and that issuing an order authorizing the surety to release the letter of credit would place ratepayers at risk.

I agree with the ORS that releasing the letter of credit at this time would be premature. I move that we request ORS to complete its review by April 30th, or upon such additional time as it might reasonably need and request. DIUC's Motion will be taken up again by this Commission subsequent to the filing of the ORS review.

So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Randall.

Commissioners, you've heard Commissioner Randall's motion in Item 12. Is there any discussion or any questions regarding his motion? Any discussion, further, of this item?

[No response]

Okay. Hearing none, all those in favor of Commissioner Randall's motion at this time, please, say "aye."

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Mr. Chairman, Item 13 is Docket No. 2018-32-C, which is the Joint Application of Birch Communications, LLC, and Birch Telecom of the South, LLC, for approval of a corporate

1 restructuring.

2 **COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** Mr. Chairman.

3 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Fleming.

4 **COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** For Docket No. 2018-32-
5 C, I move to approve the corporate restructuring as
6 proposed by Birch Communications, LLC, and Birch
7 Telecom of the South, LLC, in which residential and
8 single-line business customers will be separated
9 from large business and cloud customers.

10 With this restructuring, Birch Telecom, LLC,
11 will serve residential and single-line business
12 customers, and Birch Communications, LLC, will
13 serve large business and cloud customers, with
14 customers being transferred to the appropriate
15 entity.

16 Birch Telecom and Birch Communications will
17 continue to operate under the "Birch
18 Communications" name, so customers will continue to
19 receive service under that "Birch Communications."
20 Birch Telecom, LLC, will be spun off to a newly
21 formed holding company, Lingo Communications, LLC,
22 which will be owned by the existing owners of Birch
23 Communications and Birch Telecom. I so move.

24 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner
25 Fleming.

26 You've heard Commissioner Fleming's motion.
27 Is there any discussion?

28 [No response]

29 If not, all those in favor, please, say "aye"?

30 **COMMISSIONERS:** Aye.

31 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Any opposed?

32 [No response]

1 Motion carries.

2 Mr. Pratt, we're back to you.

3 **MR. PRATT:** Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and
4 Commissioners, that ends the Utilities Agenda.

5 Moving on to Transportation, Items 1 through 7
6 are all Class C Applications and may be considered
7 together, if that is your wish.

8 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Okay, Mr. Pratt, I'll
9 entertain a motion on those.

10 **COMMISSIONER HOWARD:** Mr. Chairman.

11 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Howard.

12 **COMMISSIONER HOWARD:** I move we approve the
13 new Applications in Items 1 through 7.

14 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner
15 Howard.

16 You've heard Commissioner Howard's motion in
17 Items 1 through 7. Is there any discussion?

18 [No response]

19 Hearing none, all those in favor of
20 Commissioner Howard's motion, please, say "aye."

21 **COMMISSIONERS:** Aye.

22 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Any opposed?

23 [No response]

24 Motion carries.

25 **MR. PRATT:** Mr. Chairman, in Item 8, Docket
26 No. 2018-36-T, Party Time Transportation &
27 Services, LLC, has a request to rescind Commission
28 Order No. 2018-62.

29 **VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL:** Mr. Chairman.

30 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Randall.

31 **VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL:** Mr. Chairman, I move
32 that we grant the Application of Party Time

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Transportation & Services to rescind Commission Order No. 2018-62. So moved.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Randall.

You've heard Commissioner Randall's motion. Is there any discussion?

[No response]

Hearing none, all those in favor, please, say "aye"?

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, Items 9 and 10 are requests to cancel Certificates.

COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Commissioner Hamilton.

COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Mr. Chairman, I would move that we grant the Class C Certificates in Items 9 through 10. So moved, sir. Requests to cancel.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: You've heard Commissioner Hamilton's motion on requests to cancel, in these items. Is there any question or any discussion, regarding Commissioner Hamilton's motion?

[No response]

If not, all those in favor, please, say "aye"?

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

MR. PRATT: Mr. Chairman, that concludes

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

today's agenda.

CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Pratt.

And thank you for your attendance, and meeting
adjourned.

[WHEREUPON, at 2:30 p.m., the proceedings
in the above-entitled matter were
adjourned.]



Date: 3/21/18 .

Jo Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM/M-GNSC
Court Reporter ~ Public Service Commission of South Carolina
803.896.5100 ~ Jo.Wheat@psc.sc.gov